

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN
v.	Plaintiff,)	2:05CR00125-MCE
ANDREW FELITT BEDENFIELD,)	<u>DETENTION ORDER</u> (Violation of Pretrial Release,
	Defendant.)	Probation or Supervised Release)
☐ After a hearing pursuafinds:	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 314	8 (violation of pretrial release order), the court
state or loca presumption ☐ there is clea	al crime while on relean that his release will of ar and convincing evid	the person has committed a federal, use and defendant has not rebutted the endanger another or the community or lence that defendant has violated
□ base or co will r	ombination of conditio	orth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) there is no condition ons of release that will assure that the defendant er to the safety of another person or the
□ the	person is unlikely to a	bide by any condition or combination of Cr.P. 32.1(a)(D), 46(c), 18 U.S.C. § 3148.
(violation of probation believe defendant had defendant has not met	n or supervised release s violated a condition his burden of establish	(a)(6) and 46(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143) the court finds there is probable cause to a of probation or supervised release and hing by clear and convincing evidence that person or to the community. 18 U.S.C. §
of the Attorney General for confine persons awaiting or serving sentence afforded reasonable opportunity for the United States or request of an atto	ment in a corrections ses or being held in constitution was private consultation was brney for the United State leliver defendant to a U	2(i)(2)-(4) defendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practicable, from ustody pending appeal. The defendant shall be ith his counsel. Upon further order of a court of ates the person in charge of the corrections facility nited States Marshal for purpose of an appearance
DATED: <u>5/15/19</u>		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
☐ Court/Original ☐ U.S. Attorne	ν Π Defense Co	unsel